

No. 23-35595

---

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

---

SUSAN SOTO PALMER, et al.,  
Plaintiff-Appellees,

v.

STEVEN HOBBS, in his official capacity as Secretary of State of  
Washington, and the STATE OF WASHINGTON,  
Defendants,

and

JOSE TREVINO, ISMAEL G. CAMPOS, and State Representative  
ALEX YBARRA,  
Intervenor-Defendant-Appellants.

---

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

Case No. 3:22-cv-05035 RSL

---

**INTERVENORS' MOTION FOR EXTENSIONS OF TIME UNDER  
CIRCUIT RULE 31-2.2(B)**

---

Jason B. Torchinsky  
Phillip M. Gordon  
Caleb Acker  
HOLTZMAN VOGEL BARAN  
TORCHINSKY & JOSEFIAK, PLLC  
2300 N Street, NW, Ste 643-A  
Washington, DC 20037  
Phone: (202) 737-8808  
Fax: (540) 341-8809  
jtorchinsky@holtzmanvogel.com  
pgordon@holtzmanvogel.com  
cacker@holtzmanvogel.com

Drew C. Ensign  
Dallin B. Holt  
Brennan A.R. Bowen  
HOLTZMAN VOGEL BARAN  
TORCHINSKY & JOSEFIAK, PLLC  
2575 E Camelback Road, Ste 860  
Phoenix, AZ 85381  
Phone: (540) 341-8808  
Fax: (540) 341-8809  
dholt@holtzmanvogel.com  
bbowen@holtzmanvogel.com

(additional counsel listed on signature block)

Dated: January 14, 2024

## **MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME**

Pursuant to Circuit Rule 31-2.2(b), Intervenor-Defendant-Appellants Jose Trevino, Ismael G. Campos, and Alex Ybarra (“Intervenors”) respectfully request a modest modification of the current briefing schedule in this appeal. Intervenors filed a motion on January 5 for a short abeyance of briefing pending the district court’s remedial decision (forthcoming in March) so that merits and remedies issues could be briefed together in consolidated briefing. Briefing on that motion is ongoing.

Because Intervenors’ motion for an abeyance has substantial implications for the scope of the issues that Intervenors will brief, Intervenors seek a short extension to account for this Court’s resolution of that motion. Specifically, Intervenors seek either (1) adoption of the schedule proposed in the abeyance motion if that motion is granted or (2) an extension until seven days after this Court’s denial of the motion (if it denies the motion). Such a short extension serves the interests of judicial economy and is supported by good cause. The State of Washington (the “State”) and its Secretary of State (the “Secretary”) take no position on this motion. Plaintiffs oppose this request.

Intervenors' opening brief is currently due January 22, 2024.<sup>1</sup> ECF No. 47. Intervenors filed a Motion to Hold Briefing in Abeyance on January 5. ECF No. 48. In the Motion, they argued that because this Voting Rights Act Section 2 merits appeal will, in all likelihood, be consolidated with appeal(s) from the district court's remedial decision adopting a new electoral map forthcoming in March, it makes sense to pause briefing so that merits and remedial issues can be briefed together in a single set of briefs. To permit expeditious resolution once that remedial decision is issued, Intervenors proposed that their consolidated brief on both merits and remedial issues be due 30 days after the district court issues its remedial decision.

If this Court denies that Motion, Intervenors respectfully request a modest amount of time—one week—from that denial to file their opening brief. Such an extension would permit Intervenors a short window of time in which they know what they are filing before they are actually required to file it.

---

<sup>1</sup> Intervenors previously availed themselves of the streamlined 30-day extension offered by Circuit Rule 31-2.2(a).

Currently, the Motion is opposed by Plaintiffs and the State.<sup>2</sup> The State has not filed an opposition brief, while Plaintiffs filed one at 6:34 am on Saturday, January 13. Because Intervenor's January 22 due date is rapidly approaching without resolution of that Motion, Intervenor is seeking a short extension so that their opening brief can account for this Court's resolution of the request for an abeyance and brief the proper scope of issues then presented. The requested extension is quite modest: seven days from resolution of the motion for an abeyance. And to facilitate quick resolution of that Motion, Intervenor commits to filing a reply in support of their Motion within two business days of when the State's response is filed. The Motion will thus be fully briefed by January 18 unless the State seeks an extension for their responses. (If the State does so, Intervenor commits to filing a reply to Plaintiffs' response by January 18 and will file a separate reply to the State's response within two business days of that response.)

Such a short extension will cause no party any prejudice. Because this Court could decide the fully briefed request as early as January 19, the extension ultimately may be as short as four days (from January 22

---

<sup>2</sup> The Secretary took no position on the Motion.

to January 26). But such a short delay will permit Intervenors a brief window of time to finalize their opening brief with knowledge of the scope of the issues/decisions to be briefed.

Moreover, because an extension will preserve the option of consolidated briefing with all the economies that consolidation offers, maintaining the option of consolidation fully warrants the short extension requested here.

## **CONCLUSION**

For the foregoing reasons, the brief extension sought by Intervenors should be granted.

Respectfully submitted this 14th day of January, 2024.

/s/ Jason B. Torchinsky

Jason B. Torchinsky

*Counsel of Record*

Phillip M. Gordon

Caleb Acker

HOLTZMAN VOGEL BARAN

TORCHINSKY & JOSEFIAK, PLLC

2300 N Street, NW, Ste 643-A

Washington, DC 20037

Phone: (202) 737-8808

Fax: (540) 341-8809

jtorchinsky@holtzmanvogel.com

pgordon@holtzmanvogel.com

cacker@holtzmanvogel.com

Drew C. Ensign

Dallin B. Holt

Brennan A.R. Bowen

HOLTZMAN VOGEL BARAN

TORCHINSKY & JOSEFIAK, PLLC

2575 E Camelback Road, Ste 860

Phoenix, AZ 85381

Phone: (540) 341-8808

Fax: (540) 341-8809

dholt@holtzmanvogel.com

bbowen@holtzmanvogel.com

Andrew R. Stokesbary

CHALMERS, ADAMS, BACKER &

KAUFMAN, LLC

701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 4200

Seattle, WA 98104

(206) 813-9322 (telephone)

dstokesbary@chalmersadams.com

*Counsel for Intervenor-Appellants*

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on this 14th day of January, 2024, I caused the foregoing document to be electronically transmitted to the Clerk's Office using the CM/ECF System for Filing and transmittal of a Notice of Electronic Filing to CM/ECF registrants.

*s/ Jason B. Torchinsky*  
Jason B. Torchinsky